

Quality of race management (?) not a submission but food for thought on the season and feedback to IQfoil class Race Management and improve quality on IQ events in general (?)

Request to put this on the agenda under 'Any other business'

Situation now: it takes time for the organization to understand the class in the moment of the race (level is still improving). Communication with locals takes time. Giving feedback from class to the race committee is limited at the start of the event. Problems with calling over early during slalom racing. In general a Race Committee which does not know/understand iQFOiL, they are not able to run good races with many errors. This is a general problem in IQ races.

Proposal: connect practice races for the race committee to the event. It could be practice for both sailors and RC. For example add 3 days of practice races, 3-4 races per day.

Notes

- > examples of other sports where the crew is an important part in running the races. There is practice training (look at ski jumping and F1 for example)
- > How do we want the class to be run to make it easier for other RCs to run iQFOiL. Earlier course race (7-8kn?). There are more specialist Race committees on course racing. Also it keeps lower weight within class.
- > Is there an issue with 9 consecutive days on the water for RC if we add training days?
- > Brings up the cost?
- > Big gap in knowledge and execution of racing between race organizations (IQ vs Local or World sailing) Should the rules be more set? Currently in IQ class most decisions now are based on the experience of the RC with feedback from sailors and coaches. This is not transferred. This inconsistency is a topic that should be talked about because it also affects the approach of racing and training.

Written by Sara Wennekes